

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
3 AUSTIN DIVISION

3 SUSAN R. PEASE ) Docket No. A 12-CA-851 SS  
4 )  
4 vs. ) Austin, Texas  
5 )  
5 CHRISTIAN BRIGGS AND )  
BRIGGS VENTURES, INC. ) December 16, 2014  
6

7 TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING  
8 BEFORE THE HONORABLE SAM SPARKS  
9

9 APPEARANCES:

10 For the Plaintiff: Mr. Raymond Lyn Stevens  
11 **(Telephonically)** Stevens, Baldo, Freeman & Lighty  
12 550 Fannin Street, Suite 700  
Beaumont, Texas 77701

13 For the Defendant: Mr. Alexander Brauer  
14 Bailey Brauer, PLLC  
15 Campbell Centre I  
8350 North Central Expressway,  
16 Suite 935  
Dallas, Texas 75206

17 Court Reporter: Ms. Lily Iva Reznik, CRR, RMR  
18 501 West 5th Street, Suite 4153  
Austin, Texas 78701  
19 (512) 391-8792  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 Proceedings reported by computerized stenography, transcript  
produced by computer.

09:03:01 1 THE COURT: 12-CA-851, Pease vs. Briggs, et al.

09:03:09 2 I'll have announcements.

09:03:10 3 MR. BRAUER: Your Honor, Alex Brauer, attorney for the  
09:03:14 4 defendant.

09:03:15 5 THE COURT: Okay. And I have somebody on the  
09:03:20 6 telephone?

09:03:21 7 THE CLERK: He just got disconnected.

09:03:23 8 THE COURT: We have Mr. Disconnected.

09:03:25 9 THE CLERK: So we'll see if we can --

09:03:29 10 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor.

09:04:12 11 THE COURT: Yes.

09:04:14 12 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, I apologize. I inadvertently  
09:04:17 13 hung up when I was fooling with my phone.

09:04:19 14 Lyn Stevens for Mrs. Pease, the plaintiff, is ready.

09:04:23 15 THE COURT: Okay. The very fact that y'all are here by  
09:04:26 16 telephone and present is pretty irritating.

09:04:32 17 This case was pending for long periods of time, went  
09:04:37 18 through summary judgments that took a little bit of time to go  
09:04:40 19 through all of that stuff. You didn't come to any settlement  
09:04:43 20 until I cost the clerk's office, at my budget, an entire venire.  
09:04:54 21 Then you come back in, well after the case is over, on something  
09:05:02 22 that reasonable people could agree to. I don't know who is  
09:05:05 23 unreasonable about it, enforcing a confidentiality agreement that  
09:05:14 24 I could care less about. I don't care an inch about.

09:05:19 25 Y'all had an agreed order and I signed it, as I do many

09:05:23 1 things. I know of nothing in this case confidential. I can't  
09:05:28 2 imagine anything confidential, unless there was a criminal case  
09:05:31 3 pending, which there may well should have been. So don't look  
09:05:38 4 for much help here.

09:05:42 5 This is a responsibility to that each of you have and  
09:05:47 6 how you handled it, one way or the other, but I'm not going to  
09:05:51 7 enforce it because I didn't find that anything was confidential.  
09:05:56 8 You asked me to do it. And now, you can't even get along with  
09:06:03 9 the order that you asked me to enter on behalf of your clients.

09:06:09 10 So with that preamble, I guess, Mr. Brauer, you have  
09:06:15 11 the lectern.

09:06:17 12 MR. BRAUER: Thank you, your Honor.

09:06:19 13 And I don't like to bother the Court with issues like  
09:06:24 14 this, and I've never had to come to a court to enforce --

09:06:27 15 THE COURT: No case is over until a dismissal or  
09:06:30 16 judgment's entered. It's very simple. No judgment's entered.  
09:06:35 17 So the case is not over. Actually, the case is not over for 30  
09:06:38 18 days after a judgment or a dismissal is entered. And y'all can't  
09:06:43 19 even agree on that.

09:06:45 20 So don't be saying this is not your responsibility.  
09:06:48 21 You filed the motion.

09:06:50 22 MR. BRAUER: No, no.

09:06:53 23 It's my responsibility. I'm just saying I don't like  
09:06:55 24 to come to the Court with an issue like this. I realize you have  
09:06:58 25 better things to do with your time. Unfortunately, I'm left with

09:07:01 1 no alternative.

09:07:02 2           The confidentiality order stipulates that within 60  
09:07:07 3 days of settlement of all claims, final judgment for exhaustion  
09:07:12 4 of all appeals, a party receiving a confidential attorneys'-eyes  
09:07:17 5 documents will either destroy or return those documents. We  
09:07:21 6 settled this matter. We announced settlement on September 2nd.  
09:07:26 7 The parties entered into a settlement agreement, dated September  
09:07:29 8 19th, which released all claims, settled all claims. Therefore,  
09:07:32 9 within 60 days of that date, the plaintiff was obligated to  
09:07:37 10 destroy or return the defendant's confidential documents.

09:07:42 11           And the confidential documents that we are referring to  
09:07:45 12 are defendant's employees' Social Security numbers, and driver's  
09:07:50 13 license numbers, client contact information, assets held by  
09:07:55 14 certain clients of the defendant's, and the defendant's bank  
09:07:58 15 account records and bank account information. More than 80 days  
09:08:06 16 has now passed since full settlement of all claims, and the  
09:08:11 17 plaintiff refuses to return the confidential documents that we  
09:08:15 18 produced under that confidentiality order.

09:08:17 19           THE COURT: Well, according to my file, there was an  
09:08:23 20 order of dismissal that I signed without prejudice to Griffiths  
09:08:33 21 and CT Group. Then I dismissed the case with prejudice on  
09:08:37 22 November the 5th.

09:08:41 23           So I would read that to mean that on December 6, if no  
09:08:47 24 appeal was filed, that would have been a final judgment.

09:08:54 25           MR. BRAUER: I don't think -- we've cited a Fifth

09:08:56 1 Circuit case in our motion.

09:08:57 2 THE COURT: I don't give a damn about the Fifth Circuit  
09:08:59 3 case. It's what it said in the motion. You said "or." But you  
09:09:07 4 don't pick the earliest of those, do you?

09:09:10 5 MR. BRAUER: Agreed, but there has been no final  
09:09:13 6 judgment entered in this case. A Rule 41(a)(1) dismissal is not  
09:09:16 7 a final judgment. It's a dismissal of the case.

09:09:19 8 THE COURT: Well, and 30 days later, I lose  
09:09:23 9 jurisdiction of the case. Did you know that?

09:09:27 10 MR. BRAUER: Understood, except --

09:09:29 11 THE COURT: So you're just here as a compliment.  
09:09:32 12 That's all.

09:09:33 13 MR. BRAUER: Well, the confidentiality order entered by  
09:09:36 14 the Court actually provides that the Court shall retain  
09:09:39 15 jurisdiction over the parties to resolve disputes relating to  
09:09:41 16 that confidentiality agreement.

09:09:42 17 THE COURT: I understand. I understand that I've got  
09:09:44 18 jurisdiction, but I don't have -- and I understand the response  
09:09:51 19 is, I've still got time. I haven't released anything.

09:09:56 20 Is that correct, Mr. Stevens?

09:09:58 21 MR. STEVENS: That's correct, your Honor.

09:09:59 22 And the plaintiff -- I mean, the defendant does have  
09:10:01 23 alternatives that they refuse to take. Instead of involving this  
09:10:06 24 court -- and I took a long time and wrote a very lengthy e-mail  
09:10:09 25 to defense counsel saying, bringing it before Judge Sparks is a

09:10:12 1 monumental waste of time of this court's resources and personnel.

09:10:17 2           Your Honor, I was served -- my client was served with a  
09:10:21 3 subpoena and a request for production in a state case that within  
09:10:25 4 the 60 days, no matter which date you apply, it's within 60 days  
09:10:29 5 demanding the documents. We were under a duty at that time to  
09:10:32 6 retain the documents for -- pursuant to the other court's  
09:10:37 7 authority. We are --

09:10:41 8           THE COURT: Or you go to jail.

09:10:42 9           MR. STEVENS: I could go to jail -- my client could go  
09:10:44 10 to jail, your Honor.

09:10:46 11           I offered to produce the documents in camera to that  
09:10:49 12 judge and let that judge decide that discovery dispute, yet, this  
09:10:53 13 defendant decides to bring you into this simply to delay and to  
09:10:56 14 stall things, again, believing that this court will help him by  
09:11:00 15 making those documents go back in, instead of going to the other  
09:11:04 16 court for determination.

09:11:05 17           I don't understand why we're before this court. I am  
09:11:07 18 as frustrated as this court is of having this hearing. I think  
09:11:11 19 it is nothing but gamesmanship to avoid producing the documents  
09:11:15 20 in the other case under court seal and let that court decide.  
09:11:20 21 And, your Honor, they have a confidentiality order in the other  
09:11:24 22 case. They actually -- I could produce these documents to the  
09:11:27 23 other side, and it's covered by their confidentiality order.

09:11:29 24           I don't understand why we're here before this court.

09:11:32 25           THE COURT: Well, as I understand it, you haven't

09:11:35 1 presented anything, although your client -- have you been  
09:11:40 2 subpoenaed?

09:11:43 3 MR. STEVENS: No, your Honor.

09:11:44 4 My client has. I do retain possession of the documents  
09:11:47 5 for my client, but my client was subpoenaed. That subpoena was  
09:11:51 6 lifted, but at the same time, she was served with a request for  
09:11:54 7 production as a nonparty in the other case.

09:11:59 8 THE COURT: Now, where is that pending?

09:12:01 9 MR. STEVENS: In state court in Dallas County.

09:12:05 10 THE COURT: In a district court?

09:12:09 11 MR. STEVENS: Yes, your Honor.

09:12:11 12 THE COURT: Okay.

09:12:13 13 MR. BRAUER: Judge, and Mr. Stevens is correct that the  
09:12:17 14 unrelated matter is up in Dallas district court, and in that  
09:12:25 15 case, the plaintiff has issued discovery requests to the  
09:12:28 16 defendants for all documents produced in this litigation. That  
09:12:33 17 matter's properly before that court, and that court will decide  
09:12:36 18 whether the defendants have to produce those documents or not.

09:12:39 19 We're confident that the Court's going to follow the  
09:12:41 20 recent Texas Supreme Court opinion saying you can't root around  
09:12:45 21 in other files in cases on a fishing expedition, but that fight  
09:12:50 22 is before that Texas state court.

09:12:51 23 And, again, we've been issued discovery requests in  
09:12:53 24 that case. There's currently a motion to compel pending. So  
09:12:56 25 there's motion practice relating to these documents.

09:12:59 1 THE COURT: Well, why -- how does that help the  
09:13:04 2 subpoena that Mr. Stevens' client has in a motion to produce?

09:13:10 3 MR. BRAUER: There's no subpoena.

09:13:11 4 The Plaintiff Hoover in the Texas state court case  
09:13:16 5 attempted to issue various subpoenas, but they didn't comply with  
09:13:20 6 the plain language of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, so we  
09:13:24 7 objected to them. He lifted them. Then he -- after getting  
09:13:28 8 frustrated with trying to do that, he issued discovery requests  
09:13:31 9 to the defendants, to us in that case, asking for the documents,  
09:13:36 10 which is what he should have done in the first place. That's how  
09:13:38 11 you get discovery is asking the party for certain documents.

09:13:43 12 And so, that's before that court. That will be decided  
09:13:46 13 by that court, whether we have to produce those documents or not.  
09:13:50 14 Mr. Stevens and his client aren't involved in this. There's no  
09:13:53 15 pending subpoena. There's none pending and there wasn't one when  
09:13:57 16 we filed the motions. He's just holding on to the documents.

09:14:00 17 And, again, I have a duty to get these bank records,  
09:14:04 18 Social Security numbers, driver's license numbers back to retain  
09:14:08 19 them. That's why they were produced under a confidentiality  
09:14:10 20 order. They're not meant to be floating around out there or held  
09:14:13 21 in third party's possessions.

09:14:15 22 We have this fight in front of the Texas state court.  
09:14:17 23 This court shouldn't be involved. The plaintiff shouldn't be  
09:14:20 24 involved. He should return the documents. There's no pending  
09:14:22 25 subpoena. He can return documents if there's no pending



09:14:25 1 subpoena. And, again, we're having the fight. No one should be  
09:14:28 2 involved other than the two parties up in the Texas state court.

09:14:32 3 THE COURT: Well, what do you think that judge would  
09:14:37 4 think if on notice that his client has been subpoenaed, whether  
09:14:43 5 it was valid or not, and a motion to compel, the response is: I  
09:14:56 6 just gave all those documents back. I don't have them anymore.

09:15:00 7 MR. BRAUER: I think if the response is, your Honor, we  
09:15:03 8 were put on notice by the parties in your case that there's a  
09:15:06 9 discovery fight over these documents and I had no subpoena.

09:15:10 10 THE COURT: No, no, no, no.

09:15:11 11 Let's forget about this case. All of it, it doesn't  
09:15:16 12 make any difference.

09:15:19 13 If some discovery request had been made of a document  
09:15:23 14 and you bring that issue in front of the judge and your excuse  
09:15:28 15 is, after I knew they were trying to get it, I gave it back to  
09:15:35 16 somebody else, I don't have it, what do you think that judge is  
09:15:40 17 going to do?

09:15:41 18 MR. BRAUER: We're before Judge Craig Smith in district  
09:15:44 19 court in Dallas, who is a very reasonable person, and I think he  
09:15:48 20 will see this for what it is.

09:15:50 21 The plaintiff cannot get these documents through the  
09:15:55 22 discovery procedures because it's outside of the scope of  
09:15:58 23 discovery. So he's trying to do an end-around in going to this  
09:16:03 24 plaintiff and subpoenaing -- attempting to subpoena confidential  
09:16:06 25 documents. Every subpoena that has been issued has been objected

09:16:10 1 to and lifted. There are no pending subpoenas.

09:16:14 2 So I think the plaintiff in this case would be very  
09:16:17 3 reasonable having signed -- entered into a federal court order  
09:16:22 4 saying, I shall return these documents within 60 days and there's  
09:16:25 5 no pending subpoenas --

09:16:26 6 THE COURT: I have not made any determination of  
09:16:29 7 confidentiality. That was an agreed order.

09:16:32 8 All right.

09:16:32 9 MR. BRAUER: Correct.

09:16:33 10 THE COURT: Mr. Stevens, what is -- he says you're not  
09:16:36 11 under any present obligation to keep the documents.

09:16:41 12 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, I don't know how the practice  
09:16:44 13 in Dallas County, but I can tell you the courts and the  
09:16:48 14 jurisdictions I'm before, including Austin, would take a dim view  
09:16:51 15 to a person who has been subpoenaed or has notice and had already  
09:16:55 16 had one subpoena issued and then, has request for production  
09:16:57 17 pending against him to get rid of the documents. Whether they  
09:17:01 18 claim they give them away or they destroy them, whatever, I think  
09:17:04 19 a court would be very disappointed in the nonparty and may bring  
09:17:08 20 sanctions upon them.

09:17:09 21 I cannot take for granted that the judge was going to  
09:17:13 22 be, quote, reasonable, according to Mr. Brauer, and just kind of  
09:17:18 23 ignore what my client would or would not do with the documents.  
09:17:21 24 As I told the Court, I produced all documents, except those that  
09:17:24 25 were confidential under the order, and I have informed both

09:17:27 1 parties that I will keep those documents confidential and  
09:17:30 2 protected under this court's confidentiality agreement, until  
09:17:35 3 such time as the Court in Dallas state court decides what to do  
09:17:39 4 with the documents; and at that point, and only at that point,  
09:17:43 5 will I either get rid of the documents pursuant to that court  
09:17:46 6 order or pursuant to this confidentiality order, I will do  
09:17:49 7 whatever that court tells me to do with the documents.

09:17:51 8 But at this point, your Honor, I've got to hold on to  
09:17:54 9 the documents to protect my client.

09:18:02 10 MR. BRAUER: My problem and my client's your Honor, is  
09:18:05 11 I don't see when this ends.

09:18:09 12 In other words, presume we win the motion to compel and  
09:18:13 13 the plaintiff up in Dallas isn't entitled to just discovery  
09:18:17 14 productions in other cases. So we win that. Now what? Is Mr.  
09:18:21 15 Stevens going to say, well, they still could potentially issue a  
09:18:24 16 subpoena in the future to me? When do my clients get their  
09:18:27 17 documents back?

09:18:28 18 THE COURT: Well, the only person that he's going to  
09:18:31 19 show those documents to, if there is a subpoena, is the judge  
09:18:40 20 who's responsible for the case and the enforcement of the  
09:18:44 21 subpoena or the discovery motions, whichever it is, and he's  
09:18:47 22 going to be willing to show him that in chambers, ex parte, and  
09:18:55 23 get an order from the judge, one way or the other.

09:18:57 24 Frankly, I don't know of a district judge who is  
09:19:00 25 reasonable that would order confidential materials in discovery

09:19:08 1 under a federal order without going to the federal order to  
09:19:13 2 remove or amend the confidentiality order. But I am sure there  
09:19:20 3 are some judges that would do that, and at that point in time,  
09:19:31 4 then that may be a problem that I'll have to face.

09:19:33 5 But I can't believe that a state district judge in  
09:19:36 6 Dallas in an ex parte review with this confidentiality order  
09:19:44 7 can't make a determination. But the truth of the matter is, I  
09:19:48 8 would never, as a lawyer, release those documents at any time,  
09:19:53 9 shape or form until I knew that that dilemma had passed. And I  
09:19:59 10 could speak from experience.

09:20:02 11 Four times, I was placed in jail for contempt of court  
09:20:05 12 as a practicing law, and it's not pleasant. It's not pleasant  
09:20:09 13 every time you have to say if you've ever been arrested, yes, by  
09:20:13 14 four federal judges and then, give the dates and what that was.

09:20:21 15 So I don't know what we are. Now, if you wish, I guess  
09:20:29 16 since counsel has a dilemma, he could file a motion for exception  
09:20:37 17 of the confidentiality order, and I would have a hearing and  
09:20:42 18 decide if those are really confidential or not. And if they are  
09:20:47 19 confidential just on Social Security numbers or identification,  
09:20:57 20 the numbers of the parties, well, you know, I'm pretty smart  
09:21:03 21 enough to be able to delete what needs to be deleted. Half the  
09:21:07 22 things I read are deleted under the agreement of the lawyers when  
09:21:13 23 they're not confidential at all.

09:21:18 24 As a matter of fact, we're under request from  
09:21:22 25 Washington and the federal judges -- federal administration to

09:21:31 1 stop sealing everything. Don't seal motions. Lawyers are smart  
09:21:39 2 enough to be able to write motions and briefs that don't have to  
09:21:44 3 be sealed. You can seal the exhibits that attach to it, but stop  
09:21:50 4 sealing motions. The public has the right to see. It's not just  
09:21:54 5 me.

09:21:57 6 But your client and you can't give Mr. Stevens any  
09:22:07 7 clear out. It's not within your power to do so. It's in the  
09:22:11 8 power of the person suing your client in Dallas. But he's on  
09:22:15 9 notice that they're trying to get those papers.

09:22:21 10 MR. BRAUER: Judge, so here's an issue I have is that  
09:22:24 11 the Texas state court up in Dallas has no power to order the  
09:22:28 12 plaintiff in this case, or Mr. Stevens, to return those documents  
09:22:32 13 to me.

09:22:33 14 So if the state court up in Texas -- excuse me, up at  
09:22:37 15 Dallas determines no, those documents are not discoverable,  
09:22:41 16 because it's a fishing expedition, and reviews the documents in  
09:22:46 17 camera or whatever mechanism he uses and says, no, the Plaintiff  
09:22:51 18 Hoover up in Dallas cannot get these documents, I'm now left with  
09:22:55 19 the same position I am now. Mr. -- he doesn't have the power to  
09:22:59 20 order Mr. Stevens to return those documents to me.

09:23:01 21 So I would request that this court enter an order --  
09:23:06 22 you know, ordering that after a time specific, after a certain  
09:23:09 23 event, the documents are returned to me.

09:23:12 24 THE COURT: But you've already got that order.

09:23:15 25 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, excuse me --

09:23:17 1 MR. BRAUER: It's not being complied with now.

09:23:18 2 THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Stevens isn't going to not  
09:23:20 3 comply with that order when he can.

09:23:21 4 Yes.

09:23:22 5 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, perhaps the solution to this  
09:23:26 6 quandary that I face, and Mr. Brauer faces, is the fact that  
09:23:31 7 until the Court -- state court in Dallas acts, we don't know  
09:23:36 8 where we are, and that's the dilemma. I don't want to be  
09:23:39 9 involved in this anymore. I'm more than happy that whatever  
09:23:42 10 happens in state court, to abide by that state court's decision.

09:23:45 11 And I understand the issues of comity between federal  
09:23:48 12 and state courts. I'm requesting that this court simply order  
09:23:53 13 the parties here saying that whatever the state court decides in  
09:23:57 14 the discovery dispute at the state court, that we abide by it,  
09:23:59 15 and until such time that I retain the documents and keep them  
09:24:04 16 held confidentially, and whenever the Court decides that in the  
09:24:08 17 state court and I can either release them, or destroy them, or  
09:24:11 18 return them to Mr. Brauer, I don't care.

09:24:13 19 I just don't want to have to keep dealing with this  
09:24:15 20 issue when I have no dog in the fight.

09:24:16 21 THE COURT: Well, the problem is, how are you going to  
09:24:21 22 define that?

09:24:23 23 There's a discovery fight right now between the  
09:24:26 24 plaintiff and the defendants as to whether or not the documents  
09:24:29 25 are confidential. However, I guess as long as the case is

09:24:35 1 pending before trial, they could attempt to get the documents  
09:24:39 2 from your client.

09:24:42 3 MR. STEVENS: Well, Mr. Brauer's already indicated  
09:24:45 4 there's a discovery dispute ongoing in state court. He has these  
09:24:47 5 documents. He can produce these documents in camera and allow  
09:24:50 6 the state court to decide whether they're confidential or not  
09:24:52 7 under that discovery request in state court. It doesn't have to  
09:24:56 8 even involve the documents I have because Mr. Brauer already has  
09:24:59 9 these documents.

09:25:00 10 If the court in state court decides they are  
09:25:03 11 confidential and enters an order saying which documents are  
09:25:07 12 confidential, I will return those documents to him posthaste and  
09:25:11 13 forget about it.

09:25:13 14 If, on the other hand, the Court says, I'm going to  
09:25:14 15 require them to be disclosed and Mr. Brauer discloses them and  
09:25:16 16 tells me he's disclosed them, I'll even return the documents to  
09:25:20 17 him then because, then, I'm at least confident enough the fact  
09:25:23 18 that I am not going to be held and my client is not going to be  
09:25:25 19 held responsible for the destruction or the removal of the  
09:25:30 20 documents from its possession until such time as the discovery  
09:25:33 21 dispute is resolved.

09:25:35 22 MR. BRAUER: Absolutely agree. That's what I've been  
09:25:38 23 offering all along. I have the documents, we're having a fight  
09:25:40 24 up there, and let the Texas state court --

09:25:42 25 MR. STEVENS: No. You have not. You have not done

09:25:44 1 that.

09:25:45 2 MR. BRAUER: I am absolutely fine with that proposal.

09:25:47 3 THE COURT: All right. Reduce it to writing.

09:25:49 4 But you know, if I was the state judge, I wouldn't look  
09:25:58 5 at those documents until the federal judge had okayed it.

09:26:01 6 MR. BRAUER: Well, the issue before the state judge is  
09:26:04 7 not whether they're confidential or not but, rather, whether  
09:26:07 8 they're discoverable or not. That's the --

09:26:10 9 THE COURT: They're not discoverable because they're  
09:26:12 10 confidential and there's an order --

09:26:15 11 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor.

09:26:15 12 THE COURT: Yeah. Yes, sir.

09:26:17 13 MR. STEVENS: May we enter -- may the parties then  
09:26:20 14 enter an agreed order before this court saying that the state  
09:26:22 15 court in Texas can review the confidentiality documents to  
09:26:27 16 determine whether they're discoverable?

09:26:28 17 THE COURT: Ex parte in chambers.

09:26:31 18 MR. BRAUER: Agreed.

09:26:32 19 MR. STEVENS: Thank you.

09:26:32 20 THE COURT: That was the reason I brought it up. I  
09:26:35 21 don't want y'all coming back on this.

09:26:36 22 All right.

09:26:37 23 MR. STEVENS: Thank you.

09:26:38 24 THE COURT: Y'all get an agreed order. Remember it's  
09:26:42 25 Christmas. Do it merrily, merrily. Don't bring it back down



09:26:48 1 here. After Christmas, I get a little bit son-of-a-bitchy.

09:26:54 2 All right.

09:26:54 3 MR. STEVENS: Appreciate it, your Honor.

09:26:56 4 MR. BRAUER: Thank you, your Honor.

5 (End of proceedings.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\* \* \* \* \*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT)  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS )

I, LILY I. REZNIK, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Western District of Texas, do certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the United States.

WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 22nd day of December, 2014.

/s/Lily I. Reznik  
LILY I. REZNIK, CRR, RMR  
Official Court Reporter  
United States District Court  
Austin Division  
501 W. 5th Street, Suite 4153  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 391-8792  
Certification No. 4481  
Expires: 12-31-14